Article ID: 1001-3555(2024)06-0503-07

Articles

Insights into Ru Crystal Phase Regulated by Reducing Agent for the Catalytic Activity of Ammonia Synthesis

WANG Dong-wei^{1,2}, MA Zhan-wei^{1*}, LI Jing^{1,2}, HU Bin^{1*}

(1. State Key Laboratory of Low Carbon Catalysis and Carbon Dioxide Utilization; State Key Laboratory for Oxo Synthesis and Selective Oxidation, Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China; 2. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China)

Abstract: Ru nanoparticles with fcc and hcp crystal phases were obtained by chemical reduction method using different precursors and reducing agents, and their catalytic properties in ammonia synthesis were compared. The catalytic reaction rate (666.4 μ mol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹) of fcc Ru catalyst is higher than that of hcp Ru (378.9 μ mol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹) at the reaction temperature (400 °C) and pressure (1 MPa). The results indicate that the exposed crystal faces have a certain impact on the catalytic activity. The dissociation ability to N₂ of fcc Ru exposed (111) and (200) is better than that of hcp Ru exposed (100). When the ruthenium catalyst was loaded on rod-like CeO₂ support, the ammonia synthesis activity was further improved. The ammonia synthesis activity of fcc Ru/CeO₂ is 1.4 times higher than that of hcp Ru/CeO₂ under the test conditions.

Key words: Ru nanocatalyst; crystal phase control; ammonia synthesisCLC number: 0643.36Document code: ADOI: 10.16084/j.issn1001-3555.2024.06.001

With the increasing global greenhouse effect and increasingly serious global warming phenomenon, ammonia has attracted wide attention as a green energy source, because it does not produce carbon dioxide during combustion. In the meanwhile, ammonia is one of the important basic chemicals and an important fertilizer raw material, which is widely used in the manufacture of textiles, plastics and fertilizers^[1]. At present, the conventional Haber-Bosch process continues to be employed for industrial ammonia synthesis, which uses Febased catalysts and requires harsh reaction conditions (300~ 500 °C, $10 \sim 30$ MPa)^[2]. Due to the high energy required for ammonia synthesis, 2% of global energy is used to produce ammonia each year^[3]. Therefore, it is very important to develop efficient ammonia synthesis catalysts under mild conditions. At low temperature and low pressure, the ammonia synthesis activity of Ru catalyst is better than that of Fe catalyst^[4-5] However, as a precious metal, the concentration of Ru in the Earth's crust is 1.0×10^{-9} , so its high price impedes its further application in industry^[6]. Therefore, it is necessary to improve the catalytic performance of Ru. Thus, the economical and sustainable utilization of Ru as a precious metal in ammonia synthesis can be realized.

DFT calculation and experiments show that the synthesis of ammonia on Ru-based catalyst is a structure-sensitive reaction, where in the particle size, morphology and exposed facets of Ru nanoparticles significantly influence their catalytic activity^[7-10]. The B5 site is a collection of 5 Ru atoms on Ru nanoparticles and is the most active center for N₂ dissociation. The small-size particles of 1.8~3.5 nm are more conducive to

the formation of the B5 sites^[11]. The performance of Ru based catalyst is related to the electronic structure of Ru nanoparticles. A series of studies have proved that the catalytic performance of the catalyst can be achieved by adjusting the electronic state of the Ru-based catalyst. Wei *et al.*^[12] found that Ru loaded on CeO₂ and MgO-CeO₂ have different electronic states and thus exhibit different catalytic activities. Nagaoka *et al.*^[13] modified the Ru electronic structure through the strong interaction between metal and the reduction support, thereby improving the ammonia synthesis activity.

In addition, most metals have bcc, hcp and fcc three crystalline phase structures. The coordination environment and spatial distribution of metal atoms vary greatly in different crystal phases^[14]. Therefore, it is significant to regulate the crystal phase of the metal in improving the catalytic performance. In recent years, regulating crystal phase structure of Ru has gradually attracted the attention of researchers. Bulk Ru has hcp crystal structure in all temperature ranges^[15]. With the development of nanotechnology, Ru with fcc crystal phase can be stabilized under both synthetic and reaction conditions. Kitagawa et al.^[15] synthesized uniform sized Ru nanoparticles with different crystal phases using chemical reduction methods with different metal precursors. Recently, researches have been conducted on improving the catalytic activity by adjusting the crystal phase of Ru. Chen et al.^[16] investigated the effect of Ru crystal on hydrolytic dehydrogenation of ammonia borane. The results indicated that the catalytic performance of fcc Ru is mainly affected by surface oxidation, while the activity of hcp Ru is predominantly affected by the size effect. Ma et al.^[17]

Received date: 2024-09-06; Revised date: 2024-09-27.

Foundation: The National Natural Science Foundation of China (22102194); The Science and Technology Plan of Gansu Province (24JRRA067, 23ZDFA016); The Youth Innovation Promotion Association of CAS (2022427).

Biography: WANG Dong-wei(1996–), female, doctor degree candidate, engaged in research of heterogeneous catalysis. E-mail: wangdongwei@licp.cas.cn. * Corresponding authors. E-mail: hcom@licp.cas.cn; zhanweima@licp.cas.cn; Tel: +86 931 4968258.

synthesized the water-dispersed fcc Ru catalyst with abundant open surfaces and improved its catalytic activity in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. This is mainly because in the process of CO dissociation, fcc Ru exposed more active sites on the crystal surface than hcp Ru. Decomposing N≡N requires a high energy barrier (945 kJ mol⁻¹), so it is generally believed that N₂ dissociation is the rate determining step in ammonia synthesis^[18–19]. Xia's group^[20–23] reported the preparation of fcc Ru nanocages with different surface structures and evaluated the activity of fcc Ru for N₂ reduction synthesis of ammonia through DFT calculations. The results showed that N₂ molecules were more strongly binding to fcc-(111) than hcp-(0001). At the same time, the activation energy barrier of fcc-(111) for N-N dissociation is decreased, indicating that fcc-(111) has a stronger effect on N₂ dissociation. In addition, although the (100) surface preferentially exposed by fcc Ru has a slightly weaker binding to N, its activation energy barrier is further reduced. These results indicate that Ru nanoparticles with fcc crystal phase are potential catalysts in ammonia synthesis. However, up to now, the study of Ru crystal phase in ammonia synthesis catalyst has been confined to theoretical calculation, and the experimental part has not been confirmed.

In this study, Ru nanoparticles with fcc and hcp crystal phases were obtained via chemical reduction method by controlling the precursor and reducing agent, and the catalytic properties in ammonia synthesis were compared. The fcc Ru catalyst shows higher catalytic reaction rate(666.4 μ mol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹) by comparing with the hcp Ru(378.9 μ mol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹) at the reaction temperature(400 °C) and pressure(1 MPa). This is mainly because the exposed crystal faces of fcc Ru and hcp Ru nanoparticles are different, and the dissociation ability of fcc-(111) to N_2 is stronger, so it has higher ammonia synthesis activity, which is consistent with the theoretical research findings of predecessors. On this basis, the obtained Ru-based catalyst was loaded on rod-like CeO2 support to further improve its ammonia synthesis activity. At 400 °C, the activity of fcc Ru/CeO₂ is 3 309.1 μ mol h⁻¹ g⁻¹, which is 1.4 times higher than that of hcp Ru/CeO₂ (2314.5 μ mol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹). The physical and chemical properties of the catalyst were characterized by TEM, XRD, XPS, TPR and TPD.

1 Experimental

1.1 Chemicals

All the reagents used in the experiment are commercially available. They can be directly used without any further purification or treatment. The purity of the gases used in the experiment was 99.999%.

1.2 Preparation of catalysts and support

For the synthesis of fcc Ru, 83.7 mg Ru(acac)₃ and 55.5 mg PVP(k29-32) were dissolved in 10 mL triethylene diethylene glycol(TEG), and then heated in an oil bath at 200 $^{\circ}$ C for 3 h. After cooling the reaction to room temperature, the products were washed and centrifuged with acetone. The volume ratio of acetone to the solvent used was 3. The preparation method of hcp Ru is similar to fcc Ru, except that 66.24 mg RuCl₃ as precursor and 10 mL glycol (EG) as solvent.

For the synthesis of CeO₂, 6.96 g Ce(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and 19.6 g NaOH were dissolved in 5 and 35 mL deionized water respectively, and then the two solutions were mixed, and finally, the obtained mixture transferred to a 50 mL

hydrothermal reactor at a height of 4/5, and stored in a vacuum oven at 100 °C for 24 h. The precipitate obtained after hydrothermal reaction is washed with deionized water to neutral and dried in the oven at 60 °C. After drying, the product was placed in a crucible and roasted in a muffle furnace at 450 °C for 4 h at a heating rate of 2.5 °C ·min⁻¹. After roasting, the sample was taken out and CeO₂ was obtained.

For the preparation of fcc Ru/CeO₂, 15 mg fcc Ru was dissolved in 15 mL deionized water, 0.5 g of prepared CeO₂ was added, impregnated and stirred at room temperature for 24 h, then centrifuged and washed with deionized water. The obtained product was fcc Ru/CeO₂ after drying in a 60 °C oven. The loading mass fraction of Ru is 3%. The preparation method of hcp Ru/CeO₂ (Ru mass fraction, 3%) is similar to fcc Ru/CeO₂, except that the active metal used is 15 mg hcp Ru.

1.3 Catalyst evaluation

The catalyst activity was evaluated by ammonia synthesis. The experiment was conducted in a fixed bed, and the catalyst packed in a $\Phi 6$ mm stainless steel reaction tube at a dosage of 200 mg each time. The total flow rate of N₂ and H₂ is 60 mL·min⁻¹(N₂/H₂=3), and the reaction pressure is 1 MPa. After the catalyst stabilizes at the testing temperature, the outlet ammonia concentration was determined by chemical titration (Congo red as an indicator, the concentration of H₂SO₄ used was 0.05 mol·L⁻¹).

1.4 Catalyst characterization

The crystal structure of the catalyst was characterized by Xray powder diffraction (XRD)(X'Pert PANalytical, Dutch), using Cu-K α radiation(λ = 0.154 050 nm), 2θ ranges were 5°~ 80°. The morphology and structure of the samples were analyzed by JEM-2010 transmission electron microscope(TEM) under 200 kV acceleration voltage. The elemental composition of the sample was detected by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB 250Xi), and the electron binding energy of all spectra was calibrated by C 1s at 284.8 eV. The TP-5080 instrument of Tianjin first right company was used for temperature programmed reduction (H₂-TPR) and temperature programmed desorption (NH₃-TPD, CO₂-TPD, N₂-TPD) experiments.

2 **Results and discussion**

We synthesized Ru nanoparticles with different crystal phases by chemical reduction method. By adjusting the precursors and reducing agents, Ru nanoparticles with different crystal phases were prepared. The morphology and particle size distribution of fcc Ru and hcp Ru were studied using TEM. Ru nanoparticles prepared by Ru(acac)₃ and TEG exhibited fcc crystal phase, while those prepared by RuCl₃ and EG had hcp structure. As shown in Fig. 1(a) and (c), the diameter of fcc Ru nanoparticles is mostly 2.8 nm, while hcp Ru is 2.0 nm, which are consistent with the size distribution of B5 site of Ru catalyst in ammonia synthesis. The lattice fringes in HRTEM further confirm the difference between the two crystal phases. As displayed in Fig. 1(b) and (d), the lattice fringe of fcc Ru is 0.20 and 0.22 nm, corresponding to its (200) and (111) crystal planes, respectively. The lattice fringe of 0.23 nm in hcp Ru is the (100) crystal plane.

The structure of the prepared Ru nanoparticles was characterized using high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED). As shown in Fig. 2, it can be observed that the hcp Ru



Fig.1 TEM images, particle size distribution and HRTEM images of fcc Ru (a, b) and hcp Ru (c, d)

nanoparticles (Fig. 2(a)) display the lamination sequence of hcp ABABAB... along the direction [100]. However, the fcc Ru nanoparticles showed the stacking order of ABCABC... (Fig. 2(c)), which shows the representing fcc [111] plane of Ru nanoparticles. In the SAED results, (111), (200), (220) and (311) planes of fcc Ru were observed, while hcp Ru showed (100) and (110) planes. Therefore, there are significant differences in the exposed crystal faces of Ru nanoparticles with different crystal phases.

XRD analysis further confirmed the difference of crystal phase between the two Ru nanoparticles. From Fig. 3, it can be seen that fcc Ru and hcp Ru exhibited different crystal faces, consistent with those previously reported^[22]. The diffraction peaks around 40.8°, 47.4° and 69.3° can be corresponded to (111), (200) and (220) planes of the fcc Ru, and the peaks at 38.4° , 42.2° , 44.0° , 58.3° and 69.4° can correspond to the (100), (002), (101), (102) and (110) diffractions of hcp Ru.



Fig.2 HRTEM and SAED images of hcp Ru (a, b) and fcc Ru (c, d)





Using ammonia synthesis as a model reaction, the influence of Ru crystal phase on catalytic reactions was investigated(Fig. 4). It was observed that the reaction rate (*r*) over fcc Ru (666.4 μ mol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹) is about 1.76 times than that of hcp Ru (378.9 μ mol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹) at the condition of 400 °C and 1 MPa(Fig. 4 (a)). The result clearly demonstrated that the crystal phase of Ru significantly affects the performance of ammonia synthesis. This is mainly because the exposed crystal surfaces of Ru nanoparticles with two crystal phases have different degrees of N₂ dissociation, which leads to the difference in catalytic ammonia synthesis performance. Combined with our experimental results and the theoretical calculation of Xia *et al.*^[18–21], the dissociation ability to N₂ of fcc Ru exposed (111) and (200) is stronger than that of hcp Ru exposed (100), so the catalytic performance of fcc Ru in ammonia synthesis is superior to that of hcp Ru.

To evaluate the support impact, CeO2 was selected as support for Ru nanoparticles with two crystal phases. CeO2 with nanorod morphology was synthesized (Fig. 4(d)) and fcc Ru/ CeO₂ and hcp Ru/CeO₂ were obtained by loading Ru of two crystal phases. Fig. 4(c) shows the XRD patterns of the two catalysts. Interestingly, both samples showed typical characteristic peaks of CeO2, and no diffraction peaks were observed for fcc Ru or hcp Ru species. This may be attributed to the low loading of Ru or the uniform distribution of active metal on the support. Fig. 4(b) showed that the catalytic activity of both fcc Ru/CeO₂ and hcp Ru/CeO₂ catalysts for ammonia synthesis reaction increased with the rise of temperature in the range of 340 to 400 °C. In addition, because of the strong interaction between the metal and the support, it was observed that the catalytic activity of the Ru-based catalyst supported on CeO₂ is higher than that of the unsupported Ru catalyst. Within in the testing temperature range, the ammonia synthesis activity of fcc Ru/CeO2 was superior than that of hcp Ru/CeO2. The activity of fcc Ru/CeO₂ at 400 °C is 3 309.1 μ mol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹, which is 1.4 times higher than that of hcp Ru/CeO₂ (2 314.5 μ mol h⁻¹ · g⁻¹). This indicates that the crystal phase of Ru remains an important factor affecting the ammonia synthesis activity even when it is loaded on the support.

The elemental composition and electronic structure of Rubased catalyst samples were further analyzed by XPS. Fig. 5 (a) and (b) show the Ru $3p_{3/2}$ orbital spectra of fcc Ru, hcp Ru, fcc Ru/CeO₂ and hcp Ru/CeO₂. Three Ru deconvolution peaks were observed at 461.5, 462.5 and 464.8 eV, corresponding to Ru⁰, Ru⁴⁺ (RuO₂) and Ru^{x+} (RuO_x, 4<x<8)^[24]. In all of these samples, the proportion of Ru⁰ is more than 50%, indicating



Fig.4 Ammonia synthesis activities of (a) fcc Ru and hcp Ru (400 °C, 1 MPa), (b) fcc Ru/CeO₂ and hcp Ru/CeO₂ (340–400 °C, 1 MPa); XRD patterns of fcc Ru/CeO₂ and hcp Ru/CeO₂ (c), TEM image of CeO₂ (d)



Fig.5 XPS spectra of Ru 3p (a, b), Ce 3d (c) and O 1s (d)

that it is more dominant than the positively charged Ru. This indicates that there are much Ru nanoparticles or clusters present on the catalyst surface. When Ru nanoparticles with different crystal phases are loaded onto CeO₂ support, the content of Ru⁰ in fcc crystalline Ru was almost unchanged, the proportion of Ru^{4+} increased from 28.3% to 38.5%, and the proportion of Ru^{x^+} decreased from 18.3% to 7.9%. The increase of Ru⁴⁺ content indicates the binding of Ce–O bond to Ru nanoparticles and there is an interaction between metal and support. For Ru with hcp phase, the content of Ru⁰ increases from 60.7% to 70.5%, the proportion of Ru^{4+} decreases from 25.7% to 10.3%, and the proportion of Ru^{x+} increases from 13.6% to 19.2%. This result shows that the content of Ru^0 is the most among the three Ru types, regardless of whether the Ru nanoparticles are loaded on the support or not. Metal Ru will promote the formation of weak basic sites^[25], which facilitates the dissociation of N \equiv N. The Ce 3d spectra is shown in Fig.5(c), fitted to ten components. It can be seen that among the ten peaks, Ce3+ and Ce4+ exist simultaneously, and the four peaks labeled V_0 , V', U_0 and U' belong to Ce^{3+} , while the six peaks V, V", V'", U, U" and U'" correspond to $Ce^{4+[26-27]}$. For the two samples, hcp Ru/CeO₂ has a higher $Ce^{3+}/Ce^{4+}(0.43)$ compared to fcc Ru/CeO_2 (0.36). The oxygen vacancies in hcp Ru/CeO₂ are higher than those in fcc Ru/CeO₂ because the existence of Ce^{3+} is related to oxygen vacancies. Fig. 5(d) shows the spectra of O 1s. O_{I} , O_{V} and O_{C} correspond to lattice oxygen bound with metal cations, O²⁻ in the oxygen-deficient region, and chemically absorbed and dissociated oxygen, respectively. The oxygen vacancy can be roughly calculated by O_V/O_L , and the result obtained is that hcp Ru/CeO₂(0.24) is higher than fcc Ru/CeO₂(0.21). In general, the presence of oxygen vacancies can increase the electron density on the Ru metal surface, thus enhancing ammonia synthesis activity^[28–29] However, our results show that the fcc Ru/CeO₂ with lower oxygen vacancy concentration exhibit higher ammonia synthesis activity, which indicates that the ammonia synthesis performance is more affected by the Ru crystal phase.

In order to investigate the reducibility of oxygen on the catalyst surface, H₂-TPR test was conducted on the prepared catalyst. As shown in Fig. 6, there are two peaks were observed at 347 and 420 °C for fcc Ru, and at 391 and 477 °C for hcp Ru. These two peaks can be attributed to RuO_x species. When Ru of different crystal phases is loaded onto CeO₂ support, the reduction peaks of RuO₂ was observed below 300 °C (109 and 268 °C for fcc Ru/CeO₂, 117 and 266 °C for hcp Ru/CeO₂),

suggesting that there is an interaction between Ru and the support that is conducive to H₂ reduction^[30]. The peaks around 800 °C correspond to the reduction of the bulk of CeO₂^[31]. In addition, the hcp Ru/CeO₂ exhibits two reduction peaks at 363 and 588 °C, mainly due to the reduction of oxygen on the surface of CeO₂^[28]. Therefore, there is an interaction between the metal and the support, so that the catalyst supported on CeO₂ has a higher ammonia synthesis activity.

The electronegativity of the support has a significant impact on the performance of ammonia synthesis catalysts. The CO₂-TPD profiles of different catalysts are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b). The CO₂ desorption temperatures of fcc Ru and hcp Ru occurred at 220, 510 °C and 220, 530 °C, respectively. However, there are three desorption peaks of fcc Ru/CeO₂(137, 354 and 550 °C), while hcp Ru/CeO₂ has only two peaks(147 and 332 °C). Generally speaking, the desorption temperature and number of desorption peaks of CO₂ are related to the intensity and quantity of basic sites, respectively^[14]. The CO₂-TPD shows that fcc Ru/CeO₂ is the strongest among the four catalysts, which is conducive to the electron transfer to Ru, thereby promoting N₂ activation and N≡N dissociation, so fcc Ru/CeO₂ has a higher ammonia synthesis activity.

Further understanding of the effect of Ru exposed crystal faces on ammonia synthesis activity was obtained through N₂-TPD. As shown in Fig. 7(c) and (d), N₂ desorption occurred at 240, 502 °C (fcc Ru) and 229, 534°C (hcp Ru), respectively, which indicating that fcc Ru has a strong adsorption capacity for N₂. When loaded on CeO₂, the number of N₂ desorption peaks increased, and the desorption of N₂ at fcc Ru/CeO₂ and hcp Ru/CeO₂ both occur at 150, 350 and 550 °C. These results indicate that the interaction between the metal and the support can promote the adsorption of N₂.

The adsorption and desorption of NH₃ are also important factors in evaluating the activity of ammonia synthesis. The NH₃-TPD profiles of different catalysts are presented in Fig. 7 (e) and (f). The desorption of NH₃ by fcc Ru and hcp Ru occurs between 230 and 450 °C. After loading on CeO₂, the desorption temperature is advanced, and the first peak of NH₃ desorption is observed at 155 and 140 °C, respectively. There are five NH₃ desorption peaks in fcc Ru/CeO₂, while hcp Ru/CeO₂ only has two peaks. The dissociation adsorption energy of N₂ is linearly related to the adsorption energy of NH_x^[32]. The stronger the dissociation ability of the metal to N₂, the more difficulty of subsequent hydrogenation to ammonia, and the weaker the adsorption energy of the metal, the more difficult it is for the



Fig.6 H₂-TPR profiles of fcc Ru and hcp Ru (a), fcc Ru/CeO₂ and hcp Ru/CeO₂ (b)



Fig.7 CO₂-TPD (a, b), N₂-TPD (c, d) and NH₃-TPD (e, f) profiles of fcc Ru and hcp Ru (a, c, e), fcc Ru/CeO₂ and hcp Ru/CeO₂ (b, d, f)

dissociation of N_2 , and the lower the ammonia synthesis activity. Only when N_2 dissociation and NH_x desorption reach a relative equilibrium state, can higher ammonia synthesis activity be obtained. The Ru-based catalyst with fcc crystal phase has strong desorption of N_2 , so the desorption of NH_3 is relatively weak. For Ru-based catalysts with hcp crystal phase, the activation capacity of N_2 is poor, but the desorption capacity of NH_3 is stronger. Therefore, the dissociation of N_2 and desorption of NH_3 of Ru-based catalysts with fcc crystal phase may be closer to the equilibrium state, and thus have higher ammonia synthesis activity.

3 Conclusions

In conclusion, Ru nanoparticles with fcc and hcp crystal phases were prepared by chemical reduction method by regulating metal precursors and reducing agents. The research results indicate that exposed crystal planes have a certain

impact on catalytic activity. The dissociation ability to N2 of fcc Ru exposed plane (111) and (200) is better than that of hcp Ru exposed plane (100). The fcc Ru catalyst shows a higher ammonia synthesis rate(666.4 μ mol h⁻¹ g⁻¹) comparing with the hcp Ru(378.9 μ mol h⁻¹ g⁻¹) at the reaction temperature(400 °C) and pressure(1 MPa). On this basis, the obtained Ru-based catalyst was loaded on rod-like CeO2 support to further improve its ammonia synthesis activity. The catalytic activity of fcc Ru/CeO₂ was higher than that of hcp Ru/CeO₂ in the test temperature range. At 400 °C, the activity of fcc Ru/CeO22 is 3 309.1 μ mol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹, which is 1.4 times higher than that of hcp Ru/CeO₂ (2 314.5 μ mol h⁻¹ g⁻¹). This indicates that the crystal phase of Ru metal is still an important factor affecting the ammonia synthesis activity even when it is loaded on the support. This work will help us to further understand the influence of the crystal phase effect of Ru-based catalysts on ammonia synthesis.

References

- Makepeace J W, He T, Weidenthaler C, *et al.* Reversible ammoniabased and liquid organic hydrogen carriers for high-density hydrogen storage: Recent progress[J]. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*, 2019, 44(15): 7746–7767.
- [2] Tian F Y, Zhou N, Chen W Q, et al. Progress in green ammonia synthesis technology: Catalytic behavior of ammonia synthesis catalysts[J]. Adv Sustain Syst, 2024, 8(8): 2300618.
- [3] Baltrusaitis J. Sustainable ammonia production[J]. ACS Sustain Chem Eng, 2017, 5(11): 9527–9527.
- [4] Saadatjou N, Jafari A, Sahebdelfar S. Ruthenium nanocatalysts for ammonia synthesis: A review[J]. *Chem Eng Commun*, 2015, 202(4): 420–448.
- [5] Bielawa H, Hinrichsen O, Birkner A, et al. The ammonia-synthesis catalyst of the next generation: Barium-promoted oxide-supported ruthenium[J]. Angew Chem Int Edit, 2001, 40(6): 1061–1063.
- [6] Ertl G. Primary steps in catalytic synthesis of ammonia[J]. J Vac Sci Technol A, 1983, 1(2): 1247–1253.
- [7] Dahl S, Tornqvist E, Chorkendorff I. Dissociative adsorption of N₂ on Ru(0001): A surface reaction totally dominated by steps[J]. J *Catal*, 2000, **192**(2): 381–390.
- [8] Dahl S, Sehested J, Jacobsen C J H, et al. Surface science based microkinetic analysis of ammonia synthesis over ruthenium catalysts[J]. J Catal, 2000, 192(2): 391–399.
- [9] Ni J, Zhu Y L, Chen G, et al. Efficient Ru/AC catalysts prepared by co-impregnation for ammonia synthesis[J]. J Mol Catal (China), 2013, 27(6): 530–538.
- [10] Liu P C, Niu R Y, Li W, et al. Effect of CeO₂ support basicity on the catalytic activity of Ru/CeO₂ catalyst for ammonia synthesis[J]. *J Mol Catal (China)*, 2018, **32**(4): 349–358.
- [11] Jacobsen C J H, Dahl S, Hansen P L, et al. Structure sensitivity of supported ruthenium catalysts for ammonia synthesis[J]. J Mol Catal A-Chem, 2000, 163(1/2): 19–26.
- [12] Wang X Y, Ni J, Lin B Y, et al. Highly efficient Ru/MgO-CeO₂ catalyst for ammonia synthesis[J]. Catal Commun, 2010, 12(4): 251– 254.
- [13] Ogura Y, Sato K, Miyahara S, *et al.* Efficient ammonia synthesis over a Ru/La_{0.5}Ce_{0.5}O_{1.75} catalyst pre-reduced at high temperature[J]. *Chem Sci*, 2018, 9(8): 2230–2237.
- [14] Liu J X, Su H Y, Sun D P, et al. Crystallographic dependence of CO activation on cobalt catalysts: HCP versus FCC[J]. J Am Chem Soc, 2013, 135(44): 16284–16287.
- [15] Kusada K, Kobayashi H, Yamamoto T, et al. Discovery of facecentered-cubic ruthenium nanoparticles: Facile size-controlled synthesis using the chemical reduction method[J]. J Am Chem Soc, 2013, 135(15): 5493–5496.
- [16] Chen G Z, Wang R Y, Zhao W, et al. Effect of Ru crystal phase on the catalytic activity of hydrolytic dehydrogenation of ammonia borane[J]. J Power Sources, 2018, 396: 148–154.
- [17] Li W Z, Liu J X, Gu J, et al. Chemical insights into the design and

development of face-centered cubic ruthenium catalysts for Fischer–Tropsch synthesis[J]. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2017, **139**(6): 2267–2276.

- [18] Lin B Y, Wei K M, Ma X F, et al. Study of potassium promoter effect for Ru/AC catalysts for ammonia synthesis[J]. Catal Sci Technol, 2013, 3(5): 1367–1374.
- [19] Kitano M, Inoue Y, Yamazaki Y, et al. Ammonia synthesis using a stable electride as an electron donor and reversible hydrogen store[J]. Nat Chem, 2012, 4(11): 934–940.
- [20] Zhao M, Figueroa-Cosme L, Elnabawy A O, et al. Synthesis and characterization of Ru cubic nanocages with a face-centered cubic structure by templating with Pd nanocubes[J]. Nano Lett, 2016, 16(8): 5310–5317.
- [21] Zhao M, Elnabawy A O, Vara M, et al. Facile synthesis of Ru-based octahedral nanocages with ultrathin walls in a face-centered cubic structure[J]. Chem Mater, 2017, 29(21): 9227–9237.
- [22] Zhao M, Xu L, Vara M, et al. Synthesis of Ru icosahedral nanocages with a face-centered-cubic structure and evaluation of their catalytic properties[J]. ACS Catal, 2018, 8(8): 6948–6960.
- [23] Zhao M, Chen Z T, Lyu Z H, *et al.* Ru octahedral nanocrystals with a face-centered cubic structure, {111} facets, thermal stability up to 400 °C, and enhanced catalytic activity[J]. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2019, 141(17): 7028–7036.
- [24] Gueye I, Kim J, Kumara L S R, et al. Investigation of selective chemisorption of fcc and hcp Ru nanoparticles using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis[J]. J Catal, 2019, 380: 247–253.
- [25] Li W, Liu P C, Niu R Y, et al. Influence of CeO₂ supports prepared with different precipitants over Ru/CeO₂ catalysts for ammonia synthesis[J]. Solid State Sci, 2020, 99: 105983.
- [26] Zhou Z Y, Li Y Z, Hu Q Q, et al. A novel synergetic effect between Ru and CeO₂ nanoparticles leads to highly efficient photothermocatalytic CO₂ reduction by CH₄ with excellent coking resistance[J]. *Solar RRL*, 2022, 6(6): 2101064.
- [27] Patsalas P, Logothetidis S, Sygellou L, et al. Structure-dependent electronic properties of nanocrystalline cerium oxide films[J]. *Phys Rev B*, 2003, 68(3): 035104.
- [28] Ma Z W, Zhao S L, Pei X P, et al. New insights into the support morphology-dependent ammonia synthesis activity of Ru/CeO₂ catalysts[J]. Catal Sci Technol, 2017, 7(1): 191–199.
- [29] Luo X J, Wang R, Ni J, et al. Effect of La₂O₃ on Ru/CeO₂-La₂O₃ catalyst for ammonia synthesis[J]. Catal Lett, 2009, 133(3/4): 382–387.
- [30] Nguyen H T T, Kumabe Y, Ueda S, et al. Highly durable Ru catalysts supported on CeO₂ nanocomposites for CO₂ methanation[J]. *Appl Catal A-Gen*, 2019, 577: 35–43.
- [31] Okal J, Zawadzki M, Kraszkiewicz P, et al. Ru/CeO₂ catalysts for combustion of mixture of light hydrocarbons: Effect of preparation method and metal salt precursors[J]. Appl Catal A-Gen, 2018, 549: 161–169.
- [32] Guo J P, Chen P. Recent progress in heterogeneous ammonia synthesis[J]. Chinese Sci Bull, 2019, 64(11): 1114–1128.

还原剂调控的不同晶相纳米 Ru 催化剂对氨合成活性的影响

王东伟^{1,2},马占伟^{1*},李静^{1,2},胡斌^{1*}

(1.中国科学院兰州化学物理研究所低碳催化与二氧化碳利用全国重点实验室(筹);羰基合成与选择氧化国家重点实验室 甘肃 兰州 730000;2.中国科学院大学,北京 100049)

摘要: 通过调控前驱体和还原剂, 采用化学还原法制备了具有不同晶相的 Ru 纳米颗粒, 分别为 fcc Ru 和 hcp Ru. 研究了 Ru 晶相对氨合成反应活性的影响, 发现 fcc Ru 主要暴露的 (200) 和 (111) 晶面对 N₂ 的解离要优于传统 hcp Ru 的 (100) 晶面, 具有较高的氨合成活性. 在 400 °C, 1 MPa 的测试条件下, fcc Ru 催化剂的反应速率为 666.4 μ mol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹, 是 hcp Ru(378.9 μ mol·h⁻¹·g⁻¹) 的 1.76 倍. 进一步将两种晶相的 Ru 负载在 CeO₂ 载体上, 所得氨合成活性进一步提高, fcc Ru/CeO₂ 的氨合成活性仍高于 hcp Ru/CeO₂, 说明 Ru 的晶相对氨合成活性的影响占主导因素. **关键词:** Ru 纳米催化剂; 晶相调控; 氨合成